## DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1416 NINTH STREET, P.O. BOX 942836 SACRAMENTO, CA 94236-0001 (916) 653-5791



March 17, 2014

Mr. Wm. Robert Alcott Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Authority 15083 Camanche Parkway South Valley Springs, California 95252

Subject: Plan Review Process, Draft Results for the MAC Plan

Dear Mr. Alcott:

Attached please find the Department of Water Resources (DWR) Draft Review of the MAC Plan. Based on the draft review, the IRWM Plan is consistent with the IRWM Planning Act and the related IRWM Plan Standards contained in the 2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines.

Pursuant to Appendix H of the Guidelines the public comment period for this review will begin on March 17, 2014. The Draft Review will be available for public comments for 30 calendar days on the following website: <a href="http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/prp.cfm">http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/prp.cfm</a>.

We will inform you of any comments received. After the public comment period ends the link to the draft review will become inactive on the website. DWR will consider comments, and if necessary work with you on any resultant follow up action. DWR will finalize the review and send it to you prior to posting on our website. The final review cover letter will state the plan's applicability in satisfying existing grant agreement conditions and plan eligibility requirements for future IRWM grant solicitations.

Mr. Wm. Robert Alcott March 17, 2014 Page 2

The Draft Review consists of a summary of the overall review and an individual review form for each of the 16 Plan Standards. On the individual review forms locations in the plan are noted for applicable material. Although they may be found elsewhere in the plan, these are the locations of the requirements used to show sufficiency with a given standard.

If you have any questions, please contact Ted Daum at (916) 651-9264 or Theodore.Daum@water.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Tracie L. Billington, 户在. Chief Financial Assistance Branch

Division of Integrated Regional Water Management

Attachment

### INTRODUCTION

IRWM planning regions must have an IRWM Plan that has been reviewed and deemed consistent with the 2012 IRWM Plan Standards by DWR for eligibilty to receiving Round 3 Proposition 84 funding. This 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form for DWR staff use provides a consistent means in determining whether the 2012 IRWM Guidelines are being addressed in the IRWM Plan. It is part of the Plan Review Process that will begin prior to Round 3 solicitation. The form is similar to a grant application review form in that there is a checklist for each of the 16 Plan Standards and narrative evaluations where required. However, the evaluation is pass/fail; there is no numeric scoring. Each Plan Standard is either sufficient or not based on its associated requirements. Each Standard consists of between one and fourteen requirements. A Yes or No is automatically calculated in each Plan Standard header based on the individual requirement evaluations. In general, a passing score of "C" (i.e. 70% of the requirements for a given Plan Standard) is required for a Standard to pass. Standards with only one or 2 requirements will need one or both of those requirements to pass. Standards with 3 requirements must be met in order to be considered consistent with plan standards. A summary of the sufficiency of each Standard is automatically calculated on the Standards Summary worksheet. A "No" evaluation indicates that a Standard was not met due to insufficient requirements comprising the Standard. The evaluation for each Plan Standard and any associated insufficiencies is automatically compiled on the Standards Summary page. Additional reviewer comments may be added at the bottom of each standards work sheet.

Note: This review form is meant to be a tool used in conjunction with the 2012 IRWM Guidelines document to assist in the evaluation of IRWM plans. It is not designed to be a substitute for the Guidelines document itself. Reviewers must use the Guidelines in determining plan consistency.

### **DEFINITION OF TABLE HEADINGS**

IRWM Plan Standard: As named in the November 2012 IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidlelines.

This field is either "YES" or "NO" and is automatically calculated based on the "Sufficient" column described below. If all fields

Overall Standard Sufficient: are "y", the the overall standard is deemed sufficient. Any entry other than a "y" in the Sufficient column (i.e. "n", ?, not sure,

more detail needed, etc.) results in a NO.

Plan Standard Requirements Which Must Be Addressed Fields with an asterisk \* are required by legislation to be included in an IRWM Plan.

Descripement	In the second se						
Requirement	Requirements are taken directly from the November 2012 Guidelines.						
	Is the Guideline Requirement included in the IRWM Plan? The options are: y = yes, requirement is included in the IRWMP; or						
Included	n = no, requirement is not included in the IRWMP. If only y or n then presence/absence of the requirement is sufficient for						
Included	evaluation. If there is a "q" (qualitative) then add a brief narrative, similar to a Grant Application Review public evaluation or						
	supporting information.						
Plan Standard Source							
2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines	Description to Could line (Newspaper 2002) which parties to the Description of						
Source Page(s)	Page(s) in the Guidelines (November 2012) which pertain to the Requirement.						
Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	The CWC or other regulations that pertain to the Requirement, if applicable. This is for reference purposes. The cell links to a						
Legislative support and/or Other Citations	weblink of the regulatory code.						
Evidence of Sufficiency							
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	The page(s) or sections in the IRWM Plan where information on the Requirement can be found. This can be specific						
Location of Standard in Grantee IRWW Plan	paragraphs or entire chapters for more general requirements.						
	Supporting information for the Requirement if a "q" is in the Included column. This can be just a few sentences or a paragraph						
Brief Qualitative Evaluation Narrative	and can be taken directly from the IRWM Plan. Comments or supporting information may be entered regardless of whether						
	required.						
Sufficient	Is the Guidelines requirement sufficiently represented in the IRWM Plan (y/n).						

# 2012 IRWM Plan Standards Review Form

Regional Acceptance Process Planning Region: Mokelumne/Amador/Calaveras

Regional Water Management Group: Mokelumne/Amador/Calaveras

IRWM Plan Title: Mokelumne/Amador/Calaveras Integrated Regional Water Management Plan Update

# **PLAN IS SUFFICIENT**

**Additional Comments:** 

IRWM Plan Standard	Overall Standard Sufficient	Requirement(s) Insufficient
Governance	Yes	
Region Description	Yes	
<u>Objectives</u>	Yes	
Resource Management Strategies	Yes	
Integration *	Yes	
Project Review Process	Yes	
Impact and Benefit	Yes	
Plan Performance and Monitoring	Yes	
<u>Data Management</u>	Yes	
<u>Finance</u>	Yes	
Technical Analysis	Yes	
Relation to Local Water Planning	Yes	
Relation to Local Land Use Planning	Yes	
<u>Stakeholder Involvement</u>	Yes	
Coordination	Yes	
Climate Change	Yes	

<sup>\*</sup> If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

# No specific reference could be found in the Impact and Benefit Standard that meet the requirement to revisit project impacts and benefits during the plan update process. See Impact and Benefit Standard. We recommend addressing this in future updates.

IRWM Plan Standard: Governance						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pres Present in t If y/n/q q evaluation	he IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document a governance structure to ensure upda	ates to the IR	RWM Plan		l.	1		
The name of the RWMG responsible for			18/35				
implementation of the IRWMP	y/n	У	18/35	CWC §10539	Page 2-1		у
A description of the IRWM governance structure	y/n	у	19/36	<u>CAVC \$10333</u>	Page 2-3		у
A description of how the chosen form of governan	ice <b>addresse</b> s	s and ensure	es:				
Public outreach and involvement processes	y/n/q	У	19/36-37		Page 2-6, Sec. 2.2.4; Page 2-7 to 2-10, Section 2.3	Public outreach includes direct mailings, local media, a Mokelumne Amador Calaveras (MAC) Plan website, and a Community Outreach Plan. The first and last Regional Participants Committee (RPC) meetings will be public.	у
Effective decision making	y/n/q	У	19/37		Page 2-5	Decisions are made on a consensus basis at the RPC level. RPC members are responsible for conveying information and feedback between the RPC and its constituency.	у
Balanced access and opportunity for participation in the IRWM process	y/n/q	٧	19/37		Page 2-7. Sec. 2.2.5	Stakeholder and RPC member participation from all relevant areas of water resources management in the region, including public meetings and outreach, ensures balanced access to the process.	у
Effective communication – both internal and external to the IRWM region	y/n/q	у	19/37-38		Page 2-5	Communication includes ongoing RPC process and actions.  Outstanding issues or concerns of RPC members are brought to the RPC first. Each RPC member states the interests of the organization or group they represent.	у
Long term implementation of the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	у	19/38	§10540, §10541	Page 2-11, Sec. 2.6	Each Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Authority (UMRWA) member agency will adopt the MAC Plan and other agencies may also do so. The MAC Plan will continue to be updated in the future Regardless of grant funding.	у
Coordination with neighboring IRWM efforts and State and federal agencies	y/n/q	у	19/38		Page 1-4, Sec. 1.1.2; Page 2-10, Sec. 2.5	Coordination occurs with neighboring IRWM efforts and the UMWRA will coordinate with the appropriate state and federal agencies.	у
The collaborative process(es) used to establish plan objectives	y/n/q	у	19/38		Page 2-9, Sec. 2.3.2	Stakeholders are integral to all aspects of the IRWM planning process. The first and last RPC meetings will also be community workshops to provide feedback. During the second RPC meeting, stakeholders will provide input.	У
How interim changes and formal changes to the IRWM Plan will be performed	y/n/q	у	19/38		Page 4-7, Sec. 4.1.4; Page 4-15, Sec. 4.1.7	The MAC Plan will be updated periodically as determined by the RWMG. A project solicitation process will be conducted, and published as an appendix to the Plan Update and posted on the UMRWA website (www.umrwa.org).	у
Updating or amending the IRWM Plan	y/n/q	у	19/38		Page 4-15, Sec. 4.1.7; Page 2-11, Sec. 2.6	The MAC Plan may be updated to: 1) comply with updated IRWM Guidelines, per DWR; 2) update the project list and project evaluation; and 3) incorporate results of plan performance monitoring and/or project monitoring.	у
Publish NOI to prepare/update the plan; adopt the plan in a public meeting	y/n/q	у	35	CWC §10543	Page 2-11, Sec. 2.6	Upon completion of the MAC Plan Update, each UMRWA member agency will adopt it, as can any other agency that wishes to do so.	у

IRWM Plan Standard: Region Desc	-					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Standard Source			Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
If applicable, describe and explain how the plan will help reduce dependence on the Delta supply regionally	y/n	n/a	20			n/a	n/a
Describe watersheds and water systems	y/n	у	19/39	PRC §75026.(b)(1) and CWP Update 2009	Pages 1-6 to 1-10		У
Describe internal boundaries	y/n	у	19/39	-	Pages 1-6 and 1-12		У
Describe water supplies and demands for minimum 20 year planning horizon	y/n	У	19/39		Page 1-27		У
Describe water quality conditions	y/n	у	19/40		Page 1-32		У
Describe social and cultural makeup, including specific information on DACs and tribal communities in the region and their water challenges.	y/n/q	У	19/40		Pages 1-19 and 4-28	Disadvantaged Communities (DACs) totally or partially located in the MAC region are described. Focused outreach to Native American tribes within the MAC Region was completed as part of the Plan update.	у
Describe major water related objectives and conflicts *	y/n/q	У	19/40	§10541. (e)(3)	Section 1.4 starting on Page 1-75	The MAC region RPC identified a number of regional water resource conflicts and issues. Additional issues were obtained from the Upper Mokelumne River Watershed Assessment and Planning Project (UMRWAP).	У
Explain how IRWM regional boundary was determined and why region is an appropriate area for IRWM planning.	y/n/q	У	19/40		Page 1-3	The four primary regional boundaries and the reasons these boundaries were used in defining the MAC region are described.	У
Describe neighboring and/or overlapping IRWM efforts	y/n	У	19/40		Starts on Page 1-4		У
Explain how opportunities are maximized (e.g. people at the table, natural features, infrastructure) for integration of water management activities	y/n	у	38		Pages 2-10		У

IRWM Plan Standard: Objectives						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes	
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	sent/Not the IRWMP. ualitative n needed.	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Qualitative Narrative	y/n	
Through the objectives or other areas of the plan, the 7 items on pg 41 of GL are addressed.*	y/n	У	20/40 - 41	<u>§10540.( c )</u>	Page 3-2 and following		У	
Describe the collaborative process and tools used to establish objectives:  - How the objectives were developed  - What information was considered (i.e., water management or local land use plans, etc.)  - What groups were involved in the process  - How the final decision was made and accepted by the IRWM effort	y/n	У	20/41		Page 3-1		у	
Identify quantitative or qualitative metrics and measureable objectives: Objectives must be measurable - there must be some metric the IRWM region can use to determine if the objective is being met as the IRWM Plan is implemented. Neither quantitative nor qualitative metrics are considered inherently better. *	y/n/q	У	20/41 - 42	10541.(e)	Page 3-4 and following	A series of performance measures have been established. These measures and their associated water resource goals and objectives are presented.	У	
Explain how objectives are prioritized or reason why the objectives are not prioritized	y/n/q	У	20/42-43		Page 3-8	The RPC chose not to prioritize the MAC Plan objectives because all were deemed equally important, and implementation of projects that contribute to any of the objectives would benefit the Region.	У	
Reference specific overall goals for the region: RWMGs may choose to use goals as an additional layer for organizing and prioritizing objectives, or they may choose to not use the term at all.	y/n	У	43		Page 3-2 and following		у	

IRWM Plan Standard: Resource Managem	nent Stra	tegies (F	RMS)			Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Star	ndard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficien
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	rogram Guidelines Legislative Support		Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify RMS incorporated in the IRWM Plan: Consider all California Water Plan (CWP) RMS criteria (29) listed in Table 3 from the CWP Update 2009 *	y/n	у	20/43	CWP Update 2009 Volume II; 10541(e)(1)	Page 3-9 and following		У
Consideration of climate change effects on the IRWM region must be factored into RMS	y/n	у	20/43		Page 1-66		у
Address which RMS will be implemented in achieving IRWM Plan Objectives	y/n	у	44	-	Page 3-9 and following		у
		)	21				

IRWM Plan Standard: Integration	<u> </u>								
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Star	dard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient		
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pre Present in t If y/n/q q evaluation	he IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n		
Contains structure and processes for developing and fostering integration <sup>1</sup> : - Stakeholder/institutional - Resource - Project implementation	y/n/q	у	20/44 - 45	§10540.(g); §10541.(h)(2)	Section 2.4	This is described in the governance structure. Resource integration has occurred through the creation of UMRWA providing a focus and lead voice to the IRWM planning process in the MAC region.	у		

I. If not included as an individual section use Governance, Project Review Process, and Data Management Standards per November 2012 Guidelines, p. 44.

IRWM Plan Standard: Project Revi	iew Proc	ess				Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes						
Requirement	Incl	uded	Plan Stand	dard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient						
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Present in the IRWMP.  If y/n/q qualitative		Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative		Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	у/п
Process for projects included in IRWM plan must address 3 components: - procedures for submitting projects - procedures for reviewing projects - procedures for communicating lists of selected projects	y/n	Υ	20/45	7	Pages 4-1, 4-2, 4-7 and 4-14		Υ						
Does the project review process in the plan incorporate the following factors:													
How a project contributes to plan objectives	y/n	У	20		Page 4-2		У						
How a project is related to Resource Management Strategies identified in the plan.	y/n	У	20		Page 4-2		У						
The technical feasibility of a project.	y/n	У	20		Page 4-4		У						
A projects specific benefits to a DAC water issue.	y/n	У	20		Page 4-4		У						
Environmental Justice considerations.	y/n	у	20	§75028.(a)	Page 4-4		У						
Project costs and financing	y/n	У	20	<u>973026.(a)</u>	Page 4-36		У						
Address economic feasibility	y/n	У	21		Page 4-3		У						
Project status	y/n	У	21		Page 4-5		у						
Strategic implementation of plan and project merit	y/n	у	21/48		Page 4-4		У						
Project's contribution to climate change adaptation	y/n	у	21		Section 3.1 Policies, Goals, and Objectives Tables 1-22 and 3-2		У						
Contribution of project in reducing GHGs compared to project alternatives	y/n	у	21		Page 1-73 and Appendix		У						
Status of the Project Proponent's IRWM plan adoption	y/n	у	21		Page 2-11		У						
Project's contribution to reducing dependence on Delta supply (for IRWM regions receiving water from the Delta).	y/n	n/a	21				n/a						

IRWM Plan Standard: Impact and Bend	efit					Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pre Present in t If y/n/q q evaluation	he IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Discuss potential impacts and benefits of plan implementation within IRWM region, between regions, with DAC/EI concerns and Native American Tribal communities	y/n	У	21		Page 4-23; Table 4-7, and; Page 4-28		У
State when a more detailed project-specific impact and benefit analysis will occur (prior to any implementation activity)	y/n	у	49		Page 4-24		у
Review and update the impacts and benefits section of the plan as part of the normal plan management activities	y/n	2	50			No specific reference could be found that meet the requirement to revisit project impacts and benefits during the plan update process.	n

IRWM Plan Standard: Plan Performan	WM Plan Standard: Plan Performance and Monitoring									
Requirement	Incl	ıded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient			
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n			
Contain performance measures and monitoring methods to ensure that IRWM objectives are met *	y/n	У	21/53	PRC §75026.( a )	Page 5-1 and following		У			
Contain a methodology that the RWMG will use to oversee and evaluate implementation of projects.	y/n	у	21/53	1110 37 3020 (8)	Page 5-7		у			

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Data Manag	RWM Plan Standard: Data Management							
Requirement	Inclu	ıded	Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pre Present in t If y/n/q q evaluation	he IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Regulatory and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n	
Describe data needs within the IRWM region	y/n	у	54		Pages 5-8 and 5-9		У	
Describe typical data collection techniques	y/n	У	54		Page 5-9		У	
Describe stakeholder contributions of data to a data management system	y/n	у	54	-	Page 5-12		У	
Describe the entity responsible for maintaining data in the data management system	y/n	У	54		Page 5-12		у	
Describe the QA/QC measures for data	y/n	У	54		Page 5-11		У	
Explain how data collected will be transferred or shared between members of the RWMG and other interested parties throughout the IRWM region, including local, State, and federal agencies *	y/n	4	54		Pages 5-12 and 5-13		у	
Explain how the Data Management System supports the RWMG's efforts to share collected data	y/n	У	54		Page 5-13		у	
Outline how data saved in the data management system will be distributed and remain compatible with State databases including CEDEN, Water Data Library (WDL), CASGEM, California Environmental Information Catalog (CEIC), and the California Environmental Resources Evaluation System (CERES).	y/n	y	54		Page 5-12	Data collected will be compatible with statewide databases because the project-specific monitoring plans will be developed based on guidance provided for applicable statewide databases.	У	

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Finance						Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	Included		Plan Stand	lard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Pre Present in t If y/n/q q evaluatio	he IRWMP. ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Include a programmatic level (i.e. general) plan for implementation and financing of identified projects and programs* including the following:	y/n	У	21		Page 4-35 and 4-36		у
List known, as well as, possible funding sources, programs, and grant opportunities for the development and ongoing funding of the IRWM Plan.	y/n	У	21		Table 4-8		у
List the funding mechanisms, including water enterprise funds, rate structures, and private financing options, for projects that implement the IRWM Plan.		У	21	§10541.( e )( 8 )	Pages 4-36 to 4-38		у
An explanation of the certainty and longevity of known or potential funding for the IRWM Plan and projects that implement the Plan.	y/n	У	21		Pages 4-36 to 4-38		у
An explanation of how operation and maintenance (O&M) costs for projects that implement the IRWM Plan would be covered and the certainty of operation and maintenance funding.	y/n	У	21		Page 4-38		у

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Technical Analysis	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes						
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient	
From IRWM Guidelines	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n	
Document the data and technical analyses that were used in the development of the plan $\mbox{*}$	y/n	у	22	-	Page 4-39		У	
* Requirement must be addressed.								

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local W	ater Pla	nning				Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes
Requirement	y/n - Present/Not Present in the IRWMP. If y/n/q qualitative evaluation needed.		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines			2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)		Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify a list of local water plans used in the IRWM plan	y/n	У	22		Pages 4-18 and Table 4-6		У
Discuss how the plan relates to these other planning documents and programs	y/n	у	22	§10540.(b)	Pages 4-17 and 4-18		У
Describe the dynamics between the IRWM plan and other planning documents	y/n	У	22	<u>310340.[5]</u>	Page 4-20		У
Describe how the RWMG will coordinate its water mgmt planning activities	y/n	V	58		Page 4-16		У
			<b>/</b>				

IRWM Plan Standard: Relation to Local La	nd Use I	Planning				Overall Standard Sufficient	
Requirement	Included  y/n - Present/Not  Present in the IRWMP.  If y/n/q qualitative  evaluation needed.		Plan Star	ndard Source	Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines			2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Sunnort	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Document current relationship between local land use planning, regional water issues, and water management objectives	y/n	у	22/59 - 62		Page 4-21		У
Document future plans to further a collaborative, proactive relationship between land use planners and water managers	y/n	у	22/59 - 62	-	Page 4-22		У
		)	21				

IRWM Plan Standard: Stakeholder Involve	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Contain a public process that provides outreach and opportunity to participate in the IRWM plan *	y/n	у	22/63	§10541.( g )	Pages 2-7 and 2-8		у
Identify process to involve and facilitate stakeholders during development and implementation of plan regardless of ability to pay; include barriers to invlovement *	y/n	У	64	§10541.(h) (2)	Sections 2.3.2 and 2.3.3		У
Discuss involvement of DACs and tribal communities in the IRWM planning effort	y/n	У	23		Page 3-28		У
Describe decision-making process and roles that stakeholders can occupy	y/n	У	23		Page 2-10; Section 2.4		у
Discuss how stakeholders are necessary to address objectives and RMS	y/n	У	23	==	Page 2-8		у
Discuss how a collaborative process will engage a balance in interest groups	y/n	У	23		Page 2-9		у

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Coordination	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Included  y/n - Present/Not  Present in the IRWMP.  If y/n/q qualitative  evaluation needed.		Plan Standard Source			Evidence of Sufficiency	Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines			2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Identify the process to coordinate water management projects and activities of participating local agencies and stakeholders to avoid conflicts and take advantage of efficiencies *	y/n	у	23/65	§10541.( e )(13)	pg. 2-10; Section 2-4, and; pg. 4-14		У
Identify neighboring IRWM efforts and ways to cooperate or coordinate, and a discussion of any ongoing water management conflicts with adjacent IRWM efforts	y/n	у	23/65		Pages 4-16 and 4-17		У
Identify areas where a state agency or other agencies may be able to assist in communication or cooperation, or implementation of IRWM Plan components, processes, and projects, or where State or federal regulatory decisions are required before implementing the projects.	y/n	у	23		Paages 2-10 and 2-11		У

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

IRWM Plan Standard: Climate Change	Overall Standard Sufficient	Yes					
Requirement	Included		Plan Standard Source		Evidence of Sufficiency		Sufficient
From IRWM Guidelines	Present in t	ualitative	2012 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines Source Page(s)	Legislative Support and/or Other Citations	Location of Standard in Grantee IRWM Plan	Brief Evaluation Narrative	y/n
Evaluate IRWM region's vulnerabilities to climate change and potential adaptation responses based on vulnerabilites assessment in the DWR Climate Change Handbook for Regional Water Planning *	y/n	У	23/66 - 73	Handbook vulnerability	Section 1.3.5; 1.3.6		у
Provide a process that considers GHG emissions when choosing between project alternatives *	y/n	у	23/68	assessment: http://www.water.ca.g ov/climatechange/CCH	Section 4.1.3		У
Include a list of prioritized vulnerabilites based on the vulnerability assessment and the IRWM's decision making process.	y/n	У		andbook.cfm; November 2012 Guidelines Legislative	Section 1.3.5		у
Contain a plan, program, or methodology for further data gathering and analysis of prioritized vulnerabilities	y/n	У	23/66 - 73	and Policy Context, p. 66 §10541.( e )(11)	Section 1.3.7		у
Include climate change as part of the project review process	y/n	у	23/68		Section 4.1.3		У

<sup>\*</sup> Requirement must be addressed.

Regulatory Citation	Link	Notes
IRWM Prop 84 and 1E Guidelines	http://www.water.ca.gov/irwm/grants/docs/Guidelines/GL_2012_FI_NAL.pdf	DWR November 2012 Guidelines - Final
CWC §10539	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10532- 10539	
CWC §10540, §10541	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
CWC §10543	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	
PRC §75026, §75028, CWP Update 2009, and California Watershed Portal	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=prc&group=75001-76000&file=75020- 75029.5 http://www.waterplan.water.ca.gov/cwpu2009/index.cfm http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/watershedportal/Pages/Index.aspx	The Department of Water Resources shall give preference to proposals that satisfy the criteria specified in PRC §75026.(b)(1). §75028.(a) - the department shall defer to approved local project selection, and review projects only for consistency with the purposes of Section 75026.  2009 California Water Plan Volumes I and II  California Watershed Portal
§10541. (e)(3)	http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi- bin/displaycode?section=wat&group=10001-11000&file=10540- 10543	